1 2 3 4 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 FIDEL C. HERNANDEZ, No. C 08-2539 JSW (PR) 11 Petitioner. **ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE** 12 VS. MATHEW KREMER, Warden, 13 14 Respondent. 15 16 INTRODUCTION 17 Petitioner, a prisoner of the State of California, has filed a habeas corpus petition 18 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 challenging the constitutional validity of his state court 19 conviction. Petitioner has paid the filing fee. This order directs Respondent to show cause 20 why the petition should not be granted. 21 **BACKGROUND** 22 According to the petition, Petitioner was convicted of second degree murder plus 23 use of a weapon in Santa Clara Superior Court. The trial court sentenced him on 24 December 2, 2005, to a term of 15 years to life in state prison. Petitioner's appeal to the 25 California Court of Appeal was denied. The California Supreme Court denied Petitioner's 26 petition for review in 2007. Petitioner subsequently filed state collateral challenges to the 27 conviction. Finally, he filed the instant federal habeas petition on May 20, 2008. 28

1 || DISCUSSION

I <u>Standard of Review</u>

This court may entertain a petition for a writ of habeas corpus "in behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court only on the ground that he is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a).

It shall "award the writ or issue an order directing the respondent to show cause why the writ should not be granted, unless it appears from the application that the applicant or person detained is not entitled thereto." *Id.* § 2243.

II <u>Legal Claims</u>

The petition raises the following ground for relief: (1) Petitioner's statement was coerced and was taken in violation of his *Miranda* rights; (2) the admission of a witnesses statement violated his confrontation rights; (3) the admission of the testimony of three witnesses deemed unavailable without adequate investigation violated his confrontation rights; (4) there was insufficient evidence to sustain the convictions; (5) prosecutorial misconduct; (6) ineffective assistance of trial counsel; and (7) ineffective assistance of appellate counsel. Petitioner's request for an evidentiary hearing is denied without prejudice to the Court's *sua sponte* reconsideration when considering the merits of the petition. Liberally construed, it does not appear from the face of the petition that Petitioner is not entitled to relief on his claims. Accordingly, Respondent is ordered to respond to the petition as set forth below.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons and for good cause shown,

- 1. The Clerk shall serve by certified mail a copy of this order and the petition, and all attachments thereto, on Respondent and Respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the State of California. The Clerk also shall serve a copy of this order on Petitioner.
 - 2. Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner, within sixty (60)

1 |

days of the issuance of this order, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted. Respondent shall file with the answer and serve on Petitioner a copy of all portions of the state trial record that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the petition. If Petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with the Court and serving it on Respondent within **thirty** (30) days of the date the answer is filed.

- 3. Respondent may file a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an answer, as set forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. If Respondent files such a motion, Petitioner shall file with the Court and serve on Respondent an opposition or statement of non-opposition within thirty (30) days of the date the motion is filed, and Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner a reply within **fifteen** (15) days the date the opposition is filed.
- 4. It is Petitioner's responsibility to prosecute this case. Petitioner must keep the Court informed of any change of address by filing a separate paper captioned "Notice" of Change of Address." He must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 1, 2008

fuy Swhite

United States District Judge

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5 6 7 8	FIDEL C. HERNANDEZ, Plaintiff, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE v.
9	MATHEW KREMER et al,
10	Defendant.
11	
12	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.
13 14 15	That on October 1, 2008, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Fidel C. Hernandez Folsom State Prison Prisoner Id F-09157 P.O. Box 950 B1-C5-02 Folsom, CA 95763 Dated: October 1, 2008 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk
28	